

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,027	12/13/2001	Bruce Barger	8711RR	. 4900
27752	7590 07/11/2005	•	EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 07/11/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/022,027	BARGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35.U.S.C. §.133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>12 April 2005</i> .					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 22,23 and 25-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 22,23 and 25-30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing( by the Examiner. Note the attached					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/28/05.	TO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _				

10/022,027

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment and remarks filed 04/12/05 have been acknowledged and entered.

Claims 22-23, and 25-30 are pending.

The double patenting rejection stated in paper
No.112204 has been withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

10/022,027

**Art Unit: 1746** 

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for "consisting essentially of" as is now claimed in claim 29.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubay et al.

10/022,027

**Art Unit: 1746** 

(WO01/05920) or (6,569,261) in combination with Hueber et al.

Aubay et al. disclose a method of cleaning and rinsing vehicles using the cleaning composition, as structurally recited in claim 23. The references teach cleaning, followed by rinsing and drying the vehicles. See pages 30, 35, and 68 (WO'920). The references disclose the surfactant as claimed.

Aubay et al teach the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of rinsing the vehicles with tap water and then rinsing with purified rinse water using a hose-end purifying device.

Huebner et al. disclose a process for washing a vehicle surface where there is provided a step of providing

10/022,027

**Art Unit: 1746** 

a tap water rinse between step of contacting the surface with cleaning composition and the step of rinsing the surface with purified rinse water (see col. 8, lines 29-50, col. 1, line 20- col. 2, line 9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the washing process of Aubay et al. to include the tap water rinse step as taught by Huebner et al. for the purpose of conserving the purified/deionized rinse water.

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubay at al. in combination with Yeiser and Chura et al. (5,595,345).

10/022,027

**Art Unit: 1746** 

Aubay et al. disclose a method of cleaning and rinsing vehicles using the cleaning composition, as structurally recited in claim 23. The references teach cleaning, followed by rinsing and drying the vehicles. See pages 30, 35, and 68 (WO'920). The references disclose the surfactant as claimed.

Aubay et al teach the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of rinsing the vehicles with tap water and then rinsing with purified rinse water using a hose-end purifying device.

Yeiser teaches a hand-held water sprayer for use in washing vehicles. On pages 3-4, bridging Yeiser teaches washing the vehicles by first washing with a cleaning solution, followed by rinsing with tap water to remove the

10/022,027

Art Unit: 1746

washing solution, and then rinsing with the demineralized water for purposes of removing the tap water rinse. On page 4, lines 20-25, and page 18, lines 30-35, Yeiser teaches the sprayer having a purifying device comprising an ion-exchange resin 404 (Fig. 13). On page 18, lines 8-10, Yeiser teaches that the sprayer can be fitted with a conventional garden hose trigger control valve.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Aubay et al., to include the sprayer of Yeiser having ion-exchange resin, for purposes of purifying the water used for rinsing of the vehicles.

It would have been obvious for one skill in the art to have modified the method of Aubay et al. to include rinsing

10/022,027

**Art Unit: 1746** 

with tap water, followed by purified water, as taught by
Yeiser for purposes of initially removing the cleaning
solution and further rinsing with purified water to remove
the tap water.

Aubay et al. in combination with Yeiser teach the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of a sprayer having a valving system.

Chura et al. teach a sprayer 2 having a multi-position valving member 40 for purposes of selectively spraying the cleaning solution and the rinsing solution onto the surface to be cleaned.

10/022,027

Art Unit: 1746

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the modified method of Aubay et al. to include a sprayer having a valving system, as taught by Chura et al. for purposes of selectively spraying the cleaning or rinsing solution onto the surface in a convenient manner.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-23 and 25-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Page 10

10/022,027

Art Unit: 1746

1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10/022,027

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teinal Elarim
Zeinab E. EL-Arini
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1746

ZEE 07/07/05